

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/724,137	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SHUDO, TAKAO	
	<b>Examiner</b> CHIRAG R. PATEL	<b>Art Unit</b> 2454	

**All Participants:** \_\_\_\_\_      **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) CHIRAG R. PATEL      (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Johnathan Bockman (Reg# 45,640)      (4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 11 March 2010      **Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**    ☐ Yes    ☐ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Claims discussed:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Prior art documents discussed:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Examiner proposed adding "non-transitory" to claims 22-23 to overcome the 101 issues. Applicants authorized examiner's amendments.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/NATHAN FLYNN/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2454

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)